

F. Do any racing jurisdictions currently have a version of this rule in effect? YES

1. British Columbia, Canada (Provincial Framework)

Regulation: British Columbia Gaming and Horse Racing Facility Rules (pursuant to BC Regulation updates) [220/2025].

Application: British Columbia enforces strict statutory mandates regarding continuous video surveillance infrastructure at all recognized horse racing and gaming facilities. This regulation outlines exact specifications for mandatory camera placement, real-time remote monitoring capabilities, and dedicated lighting standards to eliminate blind spots in backstretch housing. This establishes a clear Canadian provincial precedent for mandating fixed facility tech upgrades to protect racing integrity.

2. United States (Federal Framework)

Regulation: Horseracing Integrity and Safety Authority (HISA) – Rule 2000 Series (Racetrack Safety Program).

Application: HISA uniformly governs thoroughbred racing safety across the United States. Under its integrity and safety protocols, the federal authority mandates strict "Earned Surveillance" and integrity zones. It enforces targeted, 24/7 video surveillance monitoring over veterinary treatment stalls, receiving barns, and high-security stabling blocks. This framework proves that North American racing regulators increasingly view automated video logging as an essential, non-negotiable tool for medication control and animal safety.

3. Manitoba, Canada (Regional Precedent)

Application: Following severe security breaches and equine safety failures at the Winnipeg racetrack (specifically the Scarlet's Flower incident), industry stakeholders and advocates initiated a strict push for mandatory backstretch surveillance. The proposed frameworks mirror this petition, demanding a minimum 30-to-60-day secure data retention window to allow regulatory bodies to retroactively investigate animal distress or unauthorized backstretch access.

Prepared by Kelly Gibson
Oct 14, 2026